

Legal note: This English translation is for your information only. The legal basis for a Course of Examination of Doctoral Candidates is the original German text (see <http://www2.uni-jena.de/philosophie/Prom.doc>).

Course of Examination for Doctoral Candidates

Philosophical Faculty

Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena

According to § 5 paragraph 1, in conjunction with § 79 paragraph 2 sentence 1 Nr. 11, 83 paragraph 2 Nr. 6, 85 of the Thuringian Higher Education Act (Thüringer Hochschulgesetz [ThürHG]) as amended on 9 June 1999 (GVBl. S 331), the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena issues the following programme of doctoral study for the Philosophical Faculty. This programme of study was decided by the Council of the Faculty on 3 July 2001 and last altered on 27 November 2001. The Senate of the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena agreed to the programme on 18 December 2001. The Thuringian Ministry for Science, Research and Art approved the programme by decree Gz. H1-437/562-18 on 13 March 2002.

On 25 January 2005, the Council of the Faculty agreed upon the changes to §2 paragraph (4) which were approved by the Senate of the FSU and authorised by the Thuringian Ministry of Culture (Thüringer Kultusministerium)

Contents

- I. Degrees granted (§ 1)**
- II. Admission and acceptance to a doctoral programme (§§ 2-3)**
- III. Opening of the examination procedures (§§ 4-5)**
- IV. Doctoral committee (§ 6)**
- V. Dissertation (§ 7)**
- VI. Colloquium (§ 8)**
- VII. Final mark (§9)**
- VIII. Completion of examination procedures and certification (§§ 10-13)**
- IX. Fraud and denial of the doctorate (§ 14)**
- X. Right of inspection (§ 15)**
- XI. Appeal of decisions (§ 16)**
- XII. Honorary degrees and their renewal (§§ 17-18)**
- XIII. Provisions during transition to this course of examination (Promotionsordnung) (§ 19)**
- XIV. Equal opportunities clause (§ 20)**
- XV. Coming into effect of this course of examination (§ 21)**

Appendix 1 Admission of especially qualified graduates of universities of applied sciences (Fachhochschulabsolventen)

Appendix 2 Prototype dissertation title page/prototype CV

Appendix 3 Prototype doctoral certificate

I. Degrees granted

§ 1

The Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena, through the Philosophical Faculty, confers the academic degree of doctor philosophiae (Dr. phil.) within the fields represented by the Faculty. The title "honoris causa" (h. c.) is appended in the case of honorary and laureate degrees. A separate course of doctoral study (Promotionsordnung) will be maintained for students of musicology (Musikwissenschaft) as long as a Common Institute for Musicology (Gemeinsames Institut für Musikwissenschaft) Weimar-Jena exists.

II. Admission and acceptance to a doctoral programme

§ 2

(1) Requirements for admission to apply to a doctoral programme, as a rule, include the possession of a qualifying mark (minimally good) for a completed programme of study at a university or peer academic institution in a field which is (a) suitably preparatory for that in which the doctorate is desired and (b) also represented by the Philosophical Faculty. It is generally required that a preparatory programme of study encompass one major (Hauptfach) and two minors (Nebenfächern).

(2) Should the applicant wish to complete doctoral work in a subject which was not his or her major (Hauptfach), or in a combination of subjects different from that in which his or her pre-doctoral degree was completed, the Master's Examination Committee (Magisterprüfungsausschuss) of the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität examines the applicant's performance in all prior academic and degree-qualifying tasks and, in consultation with representatives of the desired subject of doctoral study, issues additional requirements for the applicant to fulfil. These requirements originate in the Courses of Study and Examination (Studien- und Prüfungsordnungen) for the appropriate academic departments of the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität and are a component of the Philosophical Faculty's decision whether to admit the candidate. The candidate must complete these requirements before the opening of the doctoral examination procedures.

(3) The procedure for admission of external applicants consists of the examination of the individual applicant's prior programme of study as in paragraph (2) and, when necessary, the establishment of additional requirements, including the completion of particular academic courses and exams. These requirements must be completed before the opening of doctoral examination procedures when the terms given in paragraph (2) sentence 1 apply.

(4) Knowledge and comprehension of Latin are generally required to be admitted as a doctoral candidate in the Philosophical Faculty. For applicants to academic departments whose individual courses of doctoral study do not require Latin, this requirement may be satisfied by the applicant's proficiency in 3 foreign languages.

Sentence 2 also applies to applicants who have earned a degree at a different or at a foreign university.

(5) The admission of especially qualified graduates of universities of applied sciences (Fachhochschulabsolventen) is conducted as in Appendix 1.

(6) The Dean of the Philosophical Faculty issues the applicant a notice of admission (Zulassungsbescheid) which also stipulates any additional requirements to be fulfilled. Provided that the applicant applies for acceptance as a doctoral candidate (§ 3), the notice of acceptance will supersede the notice of admission.

§ 3

(1) Persons who fulfil the admission requirements in § 2 and who intend to prepare a dissertation can apply to the Philosophical Faculty for acceptance as doctoral candidates by submitting a prospective dissertation topic. Certification of the completion of admissions requirements and copies of the applicant's academic transcript (which must be certified in the case of external applicants) are to accompany the written application.

(2) The Dean decides whether to accept the application within two months of its submission. The applicant may only be accepted as a doctoral candidate if the Faculty is able to support the intended dissertation research, to see that necessary materials and equipment are provided for the completion of the work, and to evaluate the dissertation as a scientific work. The acceptance also requires the assignment of the applicant to a professor, assistant professor or lecturer who will act as his or her scientific advisor (Betreuer). The acceptance of an applicant does not grant him or her any legal rights to the opening of doctoral examination procedures.

(3) The decision to accept or reject the application is to be given to the applicant in writing. A rejection of the application must provide a reason for the rejection as well as information on legal remedies available (Rechtsbehelfsbelehrung). The certificate of acceptance (Annahmebescheinigung) must specify the major and both minor fields of study (Hauptfach und 2 Nebenfächer), the topic of the dissertation, and the candidate's scientific advisor, and, if necessary, stipulate additional requirements as according to § 2 paragraph (2) and (3).

(4) Acceptance as a doctoral candidate can be revoked if there is no prospect of the dissertation being successfully completed within a reasonable period of time. The candidate is to be given the opportunity of a hearing before the Faculty Council prior to such a decision.

III. Opening of the examination procedures

§ 4

The written application to open the doctoral examination procedures is to be given to the Dean of the Philosophical Faculty. The following documents are to accompany the application:

the certificate of admission to a doctoral programme as in § 2 or acceptance as a doctoral candidate as in § 3 and, if necessary, verification of fulfilment of additional requirements;

1. four copies of the dissertation;
2. a written declaration, from which it can be determined:
 - 2.1. that the applicant is familiar with the relevant course of examination for doctoral candidates (Promotionsordnung),
 - 2.2. that the applicant has composed and written the dissertation him or herself and that he or she has acknowledged all additional assistance, personal communication, and sources within the work,
 - 2.3. which persons have assisted the applicant with the choice and assessment of materials and/or supported him or her in writing the manuscript,
 - 2.4. that the applicant has not enlisted the assistance of a doctoral consultant (Promotionsberater) and that no third parties have received either direct or indirect monetary benefits from the applicant for work connected to the submitted dissertation,
 - 2.5. that the applicant has not already submitted the dissertation as an examination paper for a state or other scientific examination,
 - 2.6. whether the applicant has submitted the same, a substantially similar, or a different paper to another postsecondary school and, if so, with what result;
3. an official certificate of good conduct, in the case that the applicant is not in civil service;
4. the certificate of payment of tuition fees (Promotionsgebühr), according to the current fee regulations (Gebührenordnung) of the FSU.

§ 5

(1) The Faculty Council decides whether to open the examination procedures during its first sitting following the submission of the application. The decision is made by a majority vote of its doctorate-holding members.

(2) The applicant receives written notice of the opening of the examination procedures from the Dean.

(3) In case of a negative decision by the faculty council, procedures are to be followed as outlined in § 16 paragraph (1).

(4) The withdrawal of the application to open the doctoral examination procedures is permissible until either the date for the oral exam (colloquium) has been fixed or the procedures have been closed due to the rejection of the dissertation.

IV. Doctoral committee

§ 6

(1) The Council of the Philosophical Faculty nominates a doctoral committee to conduct the doctoral examination procedures. The committee consists as a rule of

two dissertation reviewers (Gutachter) and a chairperson (Vorsitzender) who is not a member of the same academic department as the candidate and other reviewers. The committee members are nominated by the Faculty Council, at the proposal of the Dean, upon the opening of the examination procedures. Should both dissertation reviewers recommend the mark of summa cum laude for the dissertation, a third reviewer is nominated who is then also a member of the doctoral committee. Should another reviewer be nominated as in § 7 paragraph (6), he or she becomes a member of the doctoral committee as well.

(2) The doctoral committee decides in closed session whether to accept the dissertation, and on the mark it will receive based on written evaluations; conducts the colloquium for the oral exam, and evaluates the candidates' performance on this exam; and assigns the final mark.

(3) All decisions of the doctoral committee are to be recorded in a procedural log (Verfahrensprotokoll).

V. Dissertation

§ 7

(1) In the dissertation, the applicant demonstrates his or her ability to independently solve scientific problems whose solutions serve the development of the discipline in which the dissertation was completed.

(2) The dissertation is to be written in German and must be printed and bound. In justified cases, the Faculty Council may permit the dissertation to be written in a different language. A German abstract must accompany every such dissertation.

(3) The dissertation is to include a title page (see Appendix 2 for a prototype) as well as a short CV outlining the applicant's scientific educational background.

(4) The reviewers nominated as in § 6 paragraph (1) examine the submitted dissertation in detail and independently from each other and decide whether it can be accepted as a doctoral work. Each submits a written evaluation of the work, provided he or she finds it acceptable, and assigns a mark based on the following scale:

| | |
|-------------|-------------------|
| Outstanding | (summa cum laude) |
| Excellent | (magna cum laude) |
| Good | (cum laude) |
| Sufficient | (rite) |

(5) The evaluations should be submitted to the chairperson of the doctoral committee not more than three months after the opening of the doctoral examination procedures. Failures to observe this time limit must be justified. Should a reviewer be unable to submit his or her evaluation within this period, the Faculty Council can appoint a new reviewer.

(6) Should both reviewers assign the mark *summa cum laude*, the Dean is informed by the chairperson of the doctoral committee. A third reviewer is then to be appointed by the Faculty Council as in § 6 paragraph (1). The mark *summa cum laude* may only be given to the dissertation if it is agreed to by all reviewers. When all evaluations are submitted, the chairperson of the doctoral committee initiates the continuation of the examination procedures. He or she informs the professors and the members of the Faculty who hold postdoctoral degrees that the dissertation and accompanying evaluations will be available in the Dean's office for a period of three weeks. During this period, these Faculty members may offer their expert comments and opinions on the dissertation. Should all reviewers recommend the acceptance of the dissertation, the doctoral committee decides upon the dissertation final mark based on all evaluative comments. If all reviewers agree upon a single mark, then this mark is the dissertation final mark. If the reviewers do not agree, the Faculty Council may obtain an additional evaluation. This additional reviewer becomes a member of the doctoral committee. If no agreement among the reviewers can be reached over the mark of the dissertation, then the doctoral committee decides on the mark by a majority vote. The committee chairperson has the deciding vote in the case of a tie.

(7) Should at least one reviewer recommend the rejection of the dissertation, the doctoral committee decides whether to continue the doctoral examination procedures. With the approval of the Faculty Council, it may be decided to obtain additional evaluations. These additional reviewers then become members of the doctoral committee. The decision to accept or to reject the dissertation is made by the doctoral committee, taking into account all prior evaluations of the dissertation.

(8) Should two reviewers reject the dissertation, the examination procedures are closed unsuccessfully (i.e., without granting a degree). A copy of the dissertation remains in the files of the academic department.

(9) The chairperson of the doctoral commission is to serve a written notice to the doctoral candidate regarding discontinuation of the examination procedures. The doctoral candidate is in this case allowed to view the examination documents.

(10) The evaluations may be viewed by the doctoral candidate following the acceptance of the dissertation and the fixing of a date and time for the colloquium. The assigned marks must, however, be removed before the candidate is allowed to view the documents.

VI. Colloquium

§ 8

(1) The oral exam takes place as a colloquium in the subject area in which the dissertation was completed. The colloquium lasts for 60 minutes and is conducted by the members of the doctoral committee.

(2) The candidate, in consultation with his or her advisor, and within an appropriate time prior to the oral exam, suggests two main topics to the members of the doctoral

committee. During the colloquium, the doctoral candidate gives an oral presentation which demonstrates his or her independent work with central themes of his or her subject area as well as knowledge regarding the current state of research within the discipline. The colloquium takes place no earlier than 14 days following the end of the open evaluation period for the dissertation and the decision of the doctoral committee as in § 7 paragraph (6) to continue the examination procedures.

(3) At the end of the colloquium, the doctoral committee decides on a mark for the candidate's performance on the oral exam based on the following scale:

| | |
|-------------|-------------------|
| Outstanding | (summa cum laude) |
| Excellent | (magna cum laude) |
| Good | (cum laude) |
| Sufficient | (rite) |

(4) Should the oral exam be rated insufficient (and thus failed), then a time and date for a second oral exam following Paragraph (2) is agreed upon with the candidate. This second exam should take place within an appropriate period of time following the first and should have two new emphases suggested by the candidate. If the candidate fails this second exam as well, the doctoral examination procedures are ended unsuccessfully. The candidate receives a written notice in this case from the chair of the doctoral committee.

VII. Final mark

§ 9

(1) The final mark for the doctoral examination procedures is assigned based on the scale given in § 7 paragraph (4).

(2) The final mark is derived from the final marks of the dissertation and the colloquium and may not be better than the final mark of the dissertation. The final mark summa cum laude can only be given if both the dissertation and the colloquium were also given the mark of summa cum laude.

(3) The chairperson of the doctoral committee informs the Faculty Council of the committee's recommendation for the final mark.

VIII. Completion of examination procedures and certification

§ 10

(1) The doctoral committee can, at the suggestion of the reviewers, give permission for the removal of errors before the publishing of the dissertation. It is incumbent upon the Dean to assess the editing.

(2) If the dissertation has been rejected, the candidate may submit only one new

application for the doctoral examination and try again to earn a degree. The resubmission must take place no earlier than one year after the failed attempt.

§ 11

(1) The Faculty Council of the Philosophical Faculty decides upon the final mark of the doctoral examinations based on the recommendation of the doctoral committee.

(2) The Dean shares the decision of the Faculty Council with the doctoral candidate in writing, and, in the case of the decision to grant the candidate an academic degree, advises him or her as to the obligation to publish the dissertation as well as to the full terms and benefits of the doctorate.

§ 12

After the acceptance of the dissertation and the successful completion of the oral exam, the candidate is obligated to publish the dissertation and to provide the Thüringer Universitäts- und Landesbibliothek (ThULB) with copies as described below (Pflichtexemplare), in addition to the four copies for the examination files which accompanied the candidate's application to open examination procedures. The candidate has two years to provide the following:

- a. either 10 printed copies, on archival quality, wood- and acid-free paper and durably bound, or
- b. six printed copies, if the entire dissertation has been published in a journal or scientific written series, or
- c. six printed copies, if a commercial publisher will distribute the dissertation as a book, of which at least 150 copies can be demonstrated, and this publishing is announced on the back of the title page of the dissertation, or
- d. six printed copies and a copy-compatible, archival quality microfiche, or
- e. six printed copies and an electronic version in a data format and medium which is according to parameters set by the ThULB.

In cases a., d., and e., the doctoral candidate transfers to the university the right to make further copies of the dissertation. An extension of the two-year period allowed for delivery of these documents can only be granted by the explicit approval of the Dean.

§ 13

(1) As soon as the conditions in § 10 paragraph (1) are fulfilled and the obligation to publish the dissertation is satisfied, the doctorate is officially granted through the handing over of a certificate (Urkunde) signed by the Rector and the Dean. The day of the performance of the oral exam is given as the granting date for the degree.

(2) The right of the doctoral candidate to use the title of Doctor and to display the associated degree begins strictly with the handing over of this certificate.

(3) As an exception to paragraph (2), the candidate may be granted a provisional right to assume the doctoral degree and title before the handing over of the

certificate if the fulfilment of all outstanding requirements has been demonstrated. This decision is issued by the Dean.

IX. Fraud and denial of the doctorate

§ 14

(1) The granting of the doctorate is to be revoked if the applicant has lied or cheated in the verification of admission requirements or in any of the activities involved in the earning of the doctorate, or if anything should come to light which would have disqualified the candidate from being granted a degree. The decision to revoke the doctorate is made by a majority vote of the doctorate-holding members of the Faculty Council, after the holder of the degree has been afforded a hearing before the council.

(2) If the requirements for admission to a doctoral programme were not fulfilled, and the applicant did not attempt to lie or cheat about their fulfilment, and if this first becomes known after the handing over of the doctoral certificate, these deficiencies will be considered remedied through the successful completion of the dissertation, oral exam, and defence.

(3) In addition to the above conditions, the revoking of a doctorate is subject to the Law in general.

X. Right of inspection

§ 15

Following the closure of the doctoral examination procedures, the candidate has the right to view the documents. This does not affect § 7 paragraph (10).

XI. Appeal of decisions

§ 16

(1) All decisions regarding admission to apply to a doctoral programme, the acceptance or rejection of the dissertation and the evaluation of the oral exam performance are to be shared with the applicant in writing. Any notice of incrimination from the Faculty Council and/or the doctoral committee is to be justified and is to be furnished for any inquiry into the legal remedies available to the candidate and his or her right to appeal.

(2) Within a month following notification of the decision, the concerned party may file a written appeal before the Rector of the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität. The Faculty Council then obtains an opinion from the legal department of the university and subsequently makes a decision regarding the appeal via a majority vote of its doctorate-holding members. The Rector issues notice of the decision after first

obtaining the Dean's counter-signature.

(3) The provisions of the administration procedural law (Verwaltungsgerichtsordnung) apply to the appeal and to the appeal process. In addition, § 134 sentence 2 of the Thuringian Higher Education Act (Thüringer Hochschulgesetz [ThürHG]) also applies.

XII. Honorary degrees and their renewal

§ 17

(1) In the recognition of excellent scientific achievement or other special merits, the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität can issue honorary degrees. Honorary degrees may be granted through the Philosophical Faculty for any of the fields that it represents. The receipt of such a degree is a rare distinction.

(2) Every member of the Philosophical Faculty has the right to nominate candidates for the receipt of the honorary degree Dr. phil. h.c. The Dean, in consultation with the Faculty Council, authorizes two reviewers to evaluate the achievements of the nominee.

(3) Based on the submitted opinions, the Faculty Council may decide through the approval of three quarters of its doctorate-holding members to grant the honorary doctorate. Before the Faculty Council reaches a decision, the Senate is also to be given the opportunity to offer an opinion.

(4) The Rector and the Dean normally grant honorary degrees in an event to which the members of the Faculty Council and Senate and of the Faculty are invited. The degree is granted through the presentation of a certificate signed by the Rector and the Dean, in which the achievements of the honoured personage are recognised.

§ 18

(1) The honorary doctoral certificate can be renewed upon the 50th anniversary of the day of its initial conferral, if this renewal appears fitting in consideration of the scientific merits or close ties of the recipient with the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität.

(2) The anniversary certificate is granted following the proposal of the Dean and the approval of the Faculty Council. This certificate bears the signature of the Rector and of the Dean.

XIII. Provisions during transition to this course of examination (Promotionsordnung)

§ 19

(1) For any applicant who has been accepted as a doctoral candidate at another

postsecondary institution, but whose advisor has recently accepted a position with this Faculty, the requirements for admission to apply to a doctoral programme and to open doctoral examination procedures at the other institution apply.

(2) The examination procedures in cases described by paragraph (1), however, will be conducted strictly according to the course of examination (Promotionsordnung) for doctoral candidates of the Philosophical Faculty.

XIV. Equal opportunities clause

§ 20

Designators of function or status within this document (i.e., pronouns and other identifiers) are presumed to refer to both the masculine and the feminine forms.

XV. Coming into effect of this course of examination

§ 21

This course of examination comes into effect on the first day of the month following its release in the common official journal of the Thuringian Ministry of Culture (Thüringer Kultusministeriums) and the Thuringian Ministry for Science, Research and the Arts (Thüringer Ministeriums für Wissenschaft, Forschung und Kunst).

Jena, 19.04.2002

Prof. Dr. Karl-Ulrich Meyn
Rector

Prof. Dr. Jens Haustein
Dean

Appendices (also include official German copies):

1. Admission of especially qualified graduates of universities of applied sciences (Fachhochschulabsolventen)
2. Prototype dissertation title page/prototype CV
3. Prototype doctoral certificate

Appendix 1

Additional assessment procedures for admission to apply to a doctoral programme for especially qualified graduates of universities of applied sciences (Fachhochschulabsolventen)

1. Application

The application of a candidate who has graduated from a university of applied sciences (Fachhochschulabsolvent) should be submitted to the Philosophical Faculty and should include:

- an outline of the intended dissertation,
- a curriculum vitae (CV) of the applicant's scientific career,
- a degree transcript from the university of applied sciences,
- the diploma thesis, and evaluations where applicable.

2. Assessment procedure

The assessment of the candidate's former achievement at the university of applied sciences as well as further study and examination requirements is carried out by the Master's Examination Board (Magisterprüfungsausschuss) at the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena. The following are required in order for the application to be considered:

- degree transcript with an overall mark of at least 1.5
- a recommendation from the applicant's former department of study at the university of applied sciences that this area of study is appropriate to the applicant's intended doctoral work;
- the agreement of a professor or lecturer (Professor, Hochschuldozent or Privatdozent) of the Philosophical Faculty to serve as the applicant's advisor as in § 3 paragraph (2) of the course of examination for doctoral candidates (Promotionsordnung) of the Philosophical Faculty
- a positive vote of the professor or lecturer who is the applicant's potential advisor of the appropriateness of the submitted project outline for a doctoral work.

As well as checking the above requirements, the Master's Examination Board (Magisterprüfungsausschuss) evaluates the study and examination record of the applicant at his or her former university of applied sciences. Additional study and examination requirements are issued according to the requirements for the appropriate pre-doctoral degree in the department of the Philosophical Faculty in which the applicant wishes to do doctoral work. These requirements must be able to be completed within 3 semesters.

The specifications set by the Master's Examination Board are to be approved by the Faculty Council as in § 2 paragraph (2) of the course of examination for doctoral candidates (Promotionsordnung) and are given to the applicant in a notice of admission

The requirements must be fulfilled before the opening of the examination procedures.

3. Additional advisorship

At the request of the university of applied sciences, the Council of the Philosophical

Faculty can allow the participation of a professor of the university of applied sciences in the advising of the dissertation.

Appendix 2

**Note: This English translation of Appendix 2 is for your information only.
The German prototypes which follow are authoritative.**

**Prototype
for the title page of a dissertation**
(Certain passages in this prototype must be personalized by the doctoral candidate)

Title of the Dissertation

**Dissertation
for the obtainment of the academic grade
Doctor philosophiae (Dr. phil.)**

**presented to the Council of the Philosophical Faculty
of the Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena**

by *(already-earned academic degrees, first and last name)*
born on in

Prototype of the back side of the title page (bottom)
*(all specifications on the back side of the title page should be first filled out in
the obligatory copies for the ThULB [Pflichtexemplaren])*

Reviewers

1.
2.
3.

Date of the Colloquium:

Appendix 2

Curriculum vitae (*prototype*)

Last name, first name

Date and place of birth

Family status

From-to (dates)

From-to (dates)

Year

From-to (dates)

Date

From-to (dates)

Place, date

Elementary school

Grammar school or other high school

Abitur (final high school exam)

Apprenticeship as . . . , degree as . . . , course of study, subject, postsecondary institution

Postsecondary degree (Diplom, Magister, etc.), academic degree, postsecondary institution

Professional position as . . . , in . . .

Signature

Appendix 2

**Muster
für die Titelseite einer Dissertation**
*(Textabweichungen von diesem Muster müssen von den Doktoranden korrigiert
werden)*

T i t e l d e r D i s s e r t a t i o n

**Dissertation
zur Erlangung des akademischen Grades
Doctor philosophiae (Dr. phil.)**

**vorgelegt dem Rat der Philosophischen Fakultät
der Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena**

von *(bereits erworbener akadem. Grad, Vor- und Zuname)*

geboren am in

Muster der Titelblattrückseite (unten)
*(alle Angaben auf der Titelblattrückseite erst in den Pflichtexemplaren
ausfüllen)*

Gutachter

1.
2.
3.

Tag des Kolloquiums:

Appendix 2

Lebenslauf (*Muster*)

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Name, Vorname | Familienstand |
| Geburtsdatum | Geburtsort |
| Zeitraum | Schulbesuch (Volksschule) |
| Zeitraum | Gymnasium u.a. |
| Jahr | Abitur |
| Zeitraum | Lehre als ..., Abschluss als ... bzw. Studium, Gebiet, Hochschule |
| Datum | Hochschulabschluss (Diplom, Magister, usw.), akademischer Grad, Hochschule |
| Zeitraum | berufliche Tätigkeit als ..., wo ... |

Ort, Datum

Unterschrift

Appendix 3

**Note: This English translation of Appendix 3 is for your information only.
The doctoral certificate will be issued in German only.**

FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-UNIVERSITÄT JENA



The Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena awards
through the Philosophical Faculty under Rector...
and Dean...

Mr./Ms.

...

born on: ...

in: ...

the academic degree of

"doctor philosophiae"

- Dr. phil. -

as he/she, with the proper completion of doctoral examination procedures,
including the completion of a dissertation: ... (title: ...) advised by
Professor/Mr./Ms. ,and a colloquium, has proved his/her scientific abilities and
thereby earned the final mark of

"..."

Jena, den ...

Rector

Dean

FRIEDRICH-SCHILLER-UNIVERSITÄT JENA



Die Friedrich-Schiller-Universität Jena verleiht
durch die Philosophische Fakultät unter dem Rektorat...
und dem Dekanat...

Herrn/Frau

...

geboren am: ...

in: ...

den akademischen Grad eines

"doctor philosophiae"

- Dr. phil. -

nachdem er/sie in einem ordnungsgemäßen Promotionsverfahren mit der von
Herrn/Frau Prof.... betreuten Dissertation: ... (Prädikat: ...) sowie das Kolloquium
seine/ihre wissenschaftliche Befähigung erwiesen und dabei das Gesamtprädikat

"..."

erhalten hat.

Jena, den ...

Der Rektor

Der Dekan